REMARKS

Claims 1-12 were previously pending in the application. Claims 5, 7, 9, 11 and 12 were found allowable over the prior art. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10 were rejected over the prior art.

Claim 9 is cancelled above and its limitations incorporated into Claim 1. Claim 1 is also amended to eliminate a means element. The present form of Claim 1 was previously found allowable (as dependent Claim 9) over the prior art in the office action of 1/30/06.

Claims 2 to 8 are allowable at least as depending from allowable Claim 1.

Claim 10 is amended to specify a means of connecting and applying resistance force to the device grip and a user's forearm in a manner that is dependent upon the angle of the user's arm and associated device. This configuration and function is neither disclosed nor motivated by the prior art. Applying a resistance force in varying proportion to the grip and forearm of the use is contrary to the intended purposes of the cited prior art which are not directed at resistance force training. Applying any external force to the user of the Givler device, which is a mobile support for a user's flaccid arm, would disable the function of the Givler device. Applying external force, and more clearly any force of varying proportion, to the user of the Estwanik device would destroy the function of the Estwanik device which is intended to be used during natural boxing motions which would be disrupted by such forces. For this reason, amended claim 10 is neither disclosed nor obvious in view of the prior art.

For the above reasons, Applicant believes the pending claims as listed above are in condition for allowance and reconsideration and allowance is requested.

Sven W. Hanson

Date

4/28/06

Reg. No. 36,546

PO Box 357429

Gainesville, Fl. 32635-7429

(352) 375-0082

0503.ash